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» A Don Phares E-Mail
» Suzanne’s Observation -- Anytime One Mentions Consolidation, Crowds Gather

» My Observations From My Recent Homes:
   » UniGov (Indianapolis) sold a reality that did not exist and was not intended
   » Cleveland was intent on beating itself up that it needed consolidation when in fact it had already achieved things UniGov longed to see exist
   » There is far more going on in terms of cooperation and regionalism in local government administration than many realize
On Going Interest
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City-County Consolidation Attempts Since 1970

Year
Number of Attempts
Some Well Known Illustrations Of The Confusion That Exists

» UniGov -- first urban service delivery consolidation 30 years after passage

» UniGov -- created to permit greater debt levels for economic development

» UniGov -- economic development define to be downtown redevelopment

» UniGov -- economic development, planning, and zoning moved to county level (some exceptions on zoning for neighborhoods, included cities, excluded cities, etc.)
Confusion -- Part II

» UniGov -- 9 fire departments, 11 police departments, sheriff, 9 school districts, 3 park systems, contracting for police services permitted

» UniGov -- more than 80 different taxing jurisdictions within Marion County

» UniGov -- originally no real county-wide tax mechanisms (other than traditional county property tax)

» UniGov and Race -- An issue that must be considered

» UniGov -- consolidation masquerading as Tiebout’s dream world?
Confusion -- Part III

» Consolidation, Race & Class

» Survey of national experts on UniGov’s impact:
   » Improved efficiencies
   » Reduced inequities
   » No Changes On Taxes
   » Better economic development
   » Lower transaction costs for business
Greater Cleveland -- “No Great Region Would Have Us”

» The real home of the G. Marx joke, “I would never join a club that would have me as a member”
» Usual array of frustrations with leadership
» Declining population
» Job losses; drastic loss of manufacturing jobs
» Loss of Fortune 500 firms
» Is city/county consolidation the ‘magic pill’ for Greater Cleveland?
Brings us to the 4th part of the confusion

Some fragmented areas growing quite rapidly

Dallas/Fort Worth, Los Angeles, New York region

Does consolidation work only in slow growth or declining areas?

Do fragmented areas really have no regionalism or service consolidation/cooperation?
Saving Greater Cleveland

» County Consolidation -- frustrations with county leadership, feuds with Cleveland
» Class and race divisions
» 57 cities in Cuyahoga County
» 98 percent of the population growth in suburban counties comes from people leaving Cuyahoga County
» Usual levels of political conflicts, personalities
» Inner ring suburb challenge
Greater Cleveland and Regionalism: Facts On The Ground

» Earnings Tax
» 100,000+ workers in downtown
» University Circle, health complexes
» Port Authority and economic development
» Regional Transportation Authority (RTA)
» Greater Cleveland Partnership and its support for Cleveland schools and redevelopment
Greater Cleveland and Regionalism: II

- Metroparks and the Emerald Necklace
- Gateway (Jacobs Field and Quicken Arena)
- Water and Sewer Services
- County Level Financing
- Several Merged School Districts
- County investment for downtown assets
- Role of business elite, property taxes
The Academic Community

» Local government is a complex world
» Parks and Oakerson, 1989
» Thompson, 1994
» Nunn and Rosentraub, 1997
» Leland and Thurmaier, 2005
» Savitch and Vogel, 1994-2002
» Phares, et. al. 2004
An Article or Book Chapter

» The theoretical debate: Tiebout, efficiency, and benefit radii

» The political debate: improved representation and efficacy

» The empirical record:
  » Indianapolis, Cleveland, Charlotte
  » Louisville, Toronto, Miami,
  » Jacksonville, Knoxville, Nashville
Where We Are, Where Can We Go

» Using practice to inform theory
» Illustrating forms and structures for metropolitan governance
» Illustrate options, possibilities, and their impacts on governance, development, efficacy
Your Guidance and Help

» Now we need your help